

Consider the \mathcal{R} classes (R_1, R_2, R_3 and R_7) of the semigroup S for $n = 3$

$$R_1 = \{(12 -), (23 -)\},$$

$$R_2 = \{(1 - 2), (2 - 3)\},$$

$$R_3 = \{(-12), (-23)\} \text{ and}$$

$$R_4 = \{(1 - -), (2 - -), (3 - -)\},$$

$$R_5 = \{(-1 -), (-2 -), (-3 -)\},$$

$$R_6 = \{(- - 1), (- - 2), (- - 3)\}, R_7 = \{(- - -)\}$$

D. IDENTITY DIFFERENCE PARTIAL-ORDER

PRESERVING TRANSFORMATION SEMIGROUP $IDPO_n$

$$R_1 = \{(112), (223)\}, R_2 = \{(122), (233)\},$$

$$R_3 = \{(12 -), (23 -)\}, R_4 = \{(-12), (-23)\},$$

$$R_5 = \{(1 - 2), (2 - 3)\}, R_6 = \{(111), (222), (333)\},$$

$$R_7 = \{(11 -), (22 -), (33 -)\},$$

$$R_8 = \{(-11), (-22), (-33)\},$$

$$R_9 = \{(1 - 1), (2 - 2), (3 - 3)\},$$

$$R_{10} = \{(1 - -), (2 - -), (3 - -)\},$$

$$R_{11} = \{(-1 -), (-2 -), (-3 -)\},$$

$$R_{12} = \{(- - 1), (- - 2), (- - 3)\} R_{13} = \{(- - -)\}$$

are the elements of $IDPO_3$ in their respective \mathcal{R} classes. R_6, R_7, \dots, R_{13} Contains the elements with the identity, constant and empty maps. After some mathematical operations we obtain the generating set by picking an element randomly from each \mathcal{R} classes

(R_1, R_2, \dots, R_5). Generally, the minimum generating set A for S is of the sequence 5,17,49,129, $\dots \forall n \geq 3, R_1, R_2, R_3, R_4, \dots, R_{13} \in \mathcal{R}$.

IV. THE RANKS OF IDENTITY DIFFERENCE TRANSFORMATION SEMIGROUP

In this section we shall give the theoretical and combinatorial proves for the ranks of $IDT_n, IDO_n, IDI_n, IDPOI_n$ and $IDPO_n$ respectively.

A. RANK OF IDENTITY DIFFERENCE FULL TRANSFORMATION SEMIGROUP IDT_n

THEOREM 1

Let $\alpha \in S$ and $R(S)$ denote the rank of S . $\alpha \in R(S)$ iff $\alpha \in A$ is the generating set of S .

Proof

Let S be the identity difference transformation semigroup. Suppose $(\alpha, \beta), (a, b), (c, d), \dots \in \mathcal{R}$ of S . Then $(\alpha, b, d, \dots) \in A$ if $(\alpha, b, d, \dots) \setminus \zeta$, (where ζ is a

constant map elements). Suppose also that the choice of picking α, b, d, \dots in $(\alpha, \beta), (a, b), (c, d), \dots$ of S is done randomly, then the products $(\alpha, b), (b, \alpha), (b, \alpha)d, \dots$ must generate the set of elements in S . Hence $\{\alpha, b, d, \dots\} \in A$ is the generating set for S since one element is selected at random from each \mathcal{R} which excludes the \mathcal{R} classes containing the constant or identity maps.

In contradiction, Since $(\alpha, \beta), (a, b), (c, d), \dots \in \mathcal{R}$ of S . If the choice of selecting the elements of A from different sets of \mathcal{R} are random and (α, β, a, b) are selected for A , then $A \not\subseteq S$. Hence, A is not a generating set for S since the choice of elements does not consider the above stated conditions.

Thus, $\alpha \in R(S)$ iff $\alpha \in A$ and $A \subseteq S$. \square

THEOREM 2

Let $S = IDT_n$. If $|\mathcal{R}|$ be the order of \mathcal{R} in S . Then $\text{Rank}(S)$ is $(|\mathcal{R}| - 1) = 2^{(n-1)} - 1$.

Proof

Let $uS, \dots, n + (n + 1)(2^n - 2)S$ be the set of right ideals in $S \forall u \in S$. If $aS = bS = cS = \dots nS (\forall a, b, c, \dots, n \in S)$; then the elements in the set $\{a, b, c, \dots, n\}$ are in same \mathcal{R} .

Suppose a, b, c, \dots, n are set of idempotent elements, then $|\text{im}\epsilon| = 1$ where ϵ is a constant map. Hence $a, b, c, \dots, n \in E(S)$.

Let $uS = vS = \dots 2(n - 1)S$ be set of equal right ideals in S where $\{p, q, \dots 2(n - 1)\},$

$\{m, n, \dots 2(n - 1)\}, \dots |\mathcal{R}| \setminus \mathcal{R}_c$ are different sets of \mathcal{R} in S . Then $p, q, \dots, 2(n - 1)$ (respectively $m, n, \dots 2(n - 1)$) are elements in the same \mathcal{R} where \mathcal{R}_c is a set of \mathcal{R} containing the constant map.

Suppose that $|\mathcal{R}|$ in S contain the set \mathcal{R}_c such that $\forall \alpha \in S \alpha(X_1 \dots X_n) = i, i \geq 1$ is a constant map. Then the $\text{Rank}(S) = \text{Min}\{|A|: A \subseteq S, \langle A \rangle = S\}$ is $|\mathcal{R}| - |\mathcal{R}_c| = |\mathcal{R}| - 1$.

Without loss of generality, for $n \geq 3,$

$(aS = bS = \dots = nS), (pS = qS = \dots = 2(n - 1), (mS = nS = \dots = 2(n - 1), \dots 2^{(n-1)})$ implying that there are $2^{(n-1)}$ \mathcal{R} in S .

Hence the $\text{Rank}(S) = |\mathcal{R}| - 1 = 2^{(n-1)} - 1 = 3, 7, 15, 31, 63, \dots \forall n \geq 3. \square$

See the table below;

$n \geq 3$	$\text{Rank} = (\mathcal{R} - 1) = 2^{(n-1)} - 1$
3	3
4	7
5	15
6	31
7	63
8	127
9	255
10	511

THEOREM 3

Let S be identity difference full transformation semigroup. The

$$\text{Rank}(S) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=0}^n \binom{n}{p} - \binom{n}{n} = 2^{(n-1)} - 1$$

Proof

$$\text{Let } R(S) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=0}^n \binom{n}{p} - \binom{n}{n}$$

Implying that there are n ways P (respectively n) can be represented since $\binom{n}{p}$ and $\binom{n}{n}$.

Recall the identity

$$\sum_{p=0}^n \binom{n}{p} = \binom{n}{0} + \binom{n}{1} + \binom{n}{2} + \binom{n}{3} + \dots + \binom{n}{n-2} + \dots + \binom{n}{n} = 2^n.$$

So that,

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=0}^n \binom{n}{p} = \sum_{p=0}^n \frac{1}{2} \binom{n}{p} = \frac{1}{2} [\binom{n}{0} + \binom{n}{1} + \binom{n}{2} + \dots + \binom{n}{n-2} + \dots + \binom{n}{n}] = \frac{1}{2} [2^n] = 2^{-1} \cdot 2^n = 2^{n-1}$$

$$\text{Therefore } \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=0}^n \binom{n}{p} - \binom{n}{n} = 2^{-1} \cdot 2^n - 2^0 = 2^{n-1} - 1.$$

□

B. RANK OF IDENTITY DIFFERENCE ORDER

PRESERVING TRANSFORMATION SEMIGROUP IDO_n

Following the same approach as in 4.1 above, we obtain that $\text{Rank}(S) = (n - 1) \forall n \geq 3$.

Lemma 1

Let S be IDT_n and IDO_n . If $nR_{classes}$ is the number of set of R - classes in S . Then $\text{Rank}(S)$ is defined by the rule $(nR_{classes} - 1)$.

THEOREM 4

Let $S = IDO_n$. If $|\mathcal{R}|$ be the order of \mathcal{R} in S . Then $\text{Rank}(S)$ is $(|\mathcal{R}| - 1) = (n - 1)$ □

Since $\text{Rank}(S) = (n - 1) \forall n \geq 3$ then,

$n \geq 3$	$\text{Rank} = (n - 1)$
3	2
4	3
5	4
6	5
7	6
8	7
9	8
10	9

□

THEOREM 5

$$\text{Rank}(S) = \binom{n-1}{n-2} = (n - 1).$$

Proof

The expression $\binom{n-1}{n-2}$ is true that $(n-1)$ can be represented in $(n-2)$ ways and as such we have,

$$\binom{n-1}{n-2} = \frac{(n-1)!}{[(n-1)-(n-2)]!(n-2)!} = \frac{(n-1)!}{(n-2)! \cdot 1!} = \frac{(n-1)!(n-2)!}{(n-1)!} = (n - 1)$$

$$\text{Hence } \binom{n-1}{n-2} = (n - 1) \quad \square$$

C. RANK OF IDENTITY DIFFERENCE SYMMETRIC INVERSE TRANSFORMATION SEMIGROUP IDI_n

THEOREM 6

Let $IDI_n = S$ be the identity difference symmetric inverse transformation semigroup. If $|\mathcal{R}|$ be the order of the set of R -classes in S then $\text{Rank}(S) = (|\mathcal{R}| - (n + 1)) = (n - 1) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} (i)$

Proof

Let $\alpha_1 S, \alpha_2 S, \dots, \alpha_{n+1+n^2(n-1)} S$ be the right ideals of $S \forall \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{(n+1)+n^2(n-1)} \in S \forall n \geq 3$.

Where $\alpha_1 = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_n \\ i & i+1 & \dots & - \end{pmatrix}, \alpha_2 = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_n \\ i+1 & i & \dots & - \end{pmatrix}, \alpha_3 = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_n \\ - & i & \dots & i+1 \end{pmatrix}, \alpha_4 = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_n \\ - & i+1 & \dots & i \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \alpha_{t-1} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \dots & x_{n-1} & x_n \\ - & \dots & i & i+1 \end{pmatrix}, \alpha_t = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \dots & x_{n-1} & x_n \\ - & \dots & i+1 & i \end{pmatrix}.$

Observe that, for all elements from $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t \in S$ there are precisely two point of maps from the domain to the codomain and every other point in each element are empty. As such, $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots\} \in S, \{\alpha_3, \alpha_4, \dots\} \in S, \dots, \{\alpha_{t-1}, \alpha_t, \dots\} \in S$ since, $\alpha_1 S = \alpha_2 S = \dots, \alpha_3 S = \alpha_4 S = \dots, \alpha_{t-1} S = \alpha_t S = \dots$.

Similarly. Let $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_t \in S$ such that, $\beta_1 = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_n \\ i & - & \dots & - \end{pmatrix}, \beta_2 = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_n \\ i+1 & - & \dots & - \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \beta_{t-1} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \dots & x_{n-1} & x_n \\ - & \dots & - & i \end{pmatrix}, \dots$

$$\beta_t = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \dots & x_{n-1} & x_n \\ - & \dots & - & i+1 \end{pmatrix} \dots$$

If $\beta_1 S = \beta_2 S \dots, \beta_{t-1} S = \beta_t S \dots$, then $\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots\} \in \mathcal{R} \dots \{\beta_{t-1}, \beta_t, \dots\} \in \mathcal{R}$ in S .

Recall that in S , there exist the empty map ξ such that, $\xi(x_1, x_2 \dots x_n) = \xi$, that is $\xi(x_1) = \xi, \xi(x_2) = \xi, \dots, \xi(x_n) = \xi$. Hence $\{\xi\}$ is a set in \mathcal{R}

Therefore, $|\mathcal{R}| = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{2(n-1)}\}, \{\alpha_3, \alpha_4, \dots, \alpha_{2(n-1)}\}, \dots, \{\alpha_{t-1}, \alpha_t, \dots, \alpha_{2(n-1)}\}, \dots, \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n\}, \dots, \{\beta_{t-1}, \beta_{t1} \dots \beta_n\}, \dots, \xi$, Since by definition,

$\text{Rank}(S) = \text{Min}\{|A| : A \subseteq S, \langle A \rangle = S\}$, observe that the generating set is obtained by picking an element from each set of \mathcal{R} (say $\alpha_1, \alpha_4, \alpha_6, \alpha_t, \dots, \alpha_{(n-1)+\sum_{p=1}^{n-2} p} = A$) whose element must contain precisely two points of mappings and every other points empty map. Also, the choice of picking the elements of A is of high importance since $\langle A \rangle = S$.

Without loss of generality, in $\mathcal{R}(S)$

$|\mathcal{R}_1| =$ $Rank(S) = (|\mathcal{R}| - (n + 1)) = (n - 1) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} (i)$ (See
 $[\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{2(n-1)}\}, \{\alpha_3, \alpha_4, \dots, \alpha_{2(n-1)}\}, \dots, \{\alpha_{t-1}, \alpha_t, \dots, \alpha_{2(n-1)}\} \dots]$ =theorem 6). □

$(n - 1) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} (i)$ cases

$|\mathcal{R}_2| = \{[\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n], [\beta_{t-1}, \beta_t, \dots, \beta_n]\} = n$ number of cases

$|\mathcal{R}_3| = \{\xi\} = 1$ case.

As such the Rank(S)= $[|\mathcal{R}| - (n + 1)] = (n - 1) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} (i)$

See the table below,

$n \geq 3$	$ \mathcal{R} $	$Rank(S) = (n - 1) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} i$
3	7	3
4	11	6
5	16	10
6	22	15
7	29	21
8	37	28
9	46	36
10	56	45

THEOREM 7

$$Rank(S) = \binom{n-1}{n-2} + \sum_{i=1}^{(n-2)} \binom{i}{i-1}$$

Proof

$$= \frac{(n-1)!}{[(n-1)-(n-2)]!(n-2)} + \sum_{i=1}^{(n-2)} \left[\frac{i!}{[i-(i-1)]!(i-1)!} \right] = \frac{(n-1)!}{(n-2)!} +$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \left(\frac{i!}{(i-1)!} \right) = \frac{(n-1)(n-2)!}{(n-2)!} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \left(\frac{i(i-1)!}{(i-1)!} \right)$$

$$= (n - 1) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} (i). \text{ Therefore, } Rank(S) = (n - 1) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} (i) \quad \square$$

D. RANK OF IDENTITY DIFFERENCE PARTIAL ORDER SYMETRIC INVERSE TRANSFORMATION SEMIGROUP IDPOI_n

THEOREM 8

Let $S = IDPOI_n$. Then

$$Rank(S) = \binom{n-1}{n-2} + \sum_{i=1}^{(n-2)} \binom{i}{i-1} = (n - 1) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} (i)$$

□

THEOREM 9

Let $IDPOI_n = S$. If $|\mathcal{R}_c|$ be the order of the set of R-classes in S then

E. RANK OF IDENTITY DIFFERENCE PARTIAL ORDER PRESERVING TRANSFORMATION SEMIGROUP IDPO_n.

THEOREM 10

Let $S = IDPO_n$. Then $Rank(S) = \left[\frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=0}^n \binom{n}{p} \right] \binom{n-2}{n-3} + \binom{n}{n} = 2^{n-1}(n - 2) + 1$

Proof

$$Rank(S) = \left[\frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=0}^n \binom{n}{p} \right] \binom{n-2}{n-3} + \binom{n}{n} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\binom{n}{0} + \binom{n}{1} + \binom{n}{2} + \dots + \binom{n}{n-2} + \dots + \binom{n}{n} \right]$$

$$\left(\frac{(n-2)!}{[(n-2)-(n-3)]!(n-3)!} \right) + \left[\frac{n!}{(n-n)!n!} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[\binom{n}{0} + \binom{n}{1} + \binom{n}{2} + \dots + \binom{n}{n-2} + \dots + \binom{n}{n} \right] \left(\frac{(n-2)(n-3)!}{(n-3)!} \right) + 1$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2^n (n - 2) + 1 = 2^{n-1} (n - 2) + 1.$$

Therefore, $Rank(S) = \left[\frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=0}^n \binom{n}{p} \right] \binom{n-2}{n-3} + \binom{n}{n} = 2^{n-1}(n - 2) + 1.$ □

THEOREM 11

Let $IDPO_n = S$ be the identity difference partial order preserving transformation semigroup.

$$Rank(S) = |\mathcal{R}| - 2^n = (n - 2)2^{(n-1)} + 1.$$

Proof

Let $\alpha_1 S, \dots, \alpha_{2^n+(2^{n-1})(n^2-n)} S$ (where $|S| = 2^n + (2^{n-1})(n^2 - n)$), and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{2^n+(2^{n-1})(n^2-n)}$ are arbitrary elements of S. Suppose there are $n \cdot 2^{(n-1)} + 1$ set of \mathcal{R} in $S \forall n \geq 3$, then the sets must contain the partial identity maps say $\alpha_1 = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_n \\ i & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix}, \alpha_2 = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_n \\ i+1 & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \alpha_n = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_n & \dots & x_n \\ n & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix}$, the identity maps say $\beta_1 = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \dots & x_n \\ i & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \dots \beta_n \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \dots & x_n \\ n & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix}$ and the empty map $\xi = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \dots & x_n \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix}$ where $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}, \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n\}, \dots, \{\xi\} \in \mathcal{R}$.

Let $|\emptyset|$ be the order of the set of \mathcal{R} containing the (partial) identity and the empty map elements, then by combinatorial analysis, $|\emptyset| = 2^n$.

Since in S, the $|\mathcal{R}| = n \cdot 2^{(n-1)} + 1$ and $|\emptyset| = 2^n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Rank}(S) &= |\mathcal{R}| - |\emptyset| = (n \cdot 2^{(n-1)} + 1) - 2^n = n \cdot 2^n \cdot 2^{-1} + 1 - 2^n \\ &= n \cdot 2^n \cdot 2^{-1} - 2^n + 1 = 2^n(n \cdot 2^{-1} - 1) + 1 = 2^n \left(\frac{n}{2} - 1\right) + 1 \\ &= 2^n \left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right) + 1 = 2^n \cdot 2^{-1}(n-2) + 1 = 2^{(n-1)}(n-2) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

See table below;

$n \geq 3$	$ \mathcal{R} $ $= n \cdot 2^{n-1} + 1$	$\text{Rank}(S)$ $= 2^{(n-1)}(n-2) + 1$	$ \text{Rank} - \mathcal{R} $ $= 2^n$
3	13	5	8
4	33	17	16
5	81	49	32
6	193	129	64
7	449	321	128
8	1025	769	256
9	2305	1793	512
10	5121	4097	1024

V. V. CONCLUSION

We therefore conclude that the rank of identity difference transformation semigroup exist and can be easily obtained using the R – classes of the respective subsemigroups as shown in section 3 and 4.

Conflict of Interest Statement:

This is to affirm that there is no conflict of interest amongst the authors or whosoever.

References

- [1] A. O. Adeniji, (2012). “Identity Difference Transformation Semigroups”. Ph.D. thesis. Department of mathematics, faculty of science, university of Ilorin, Ilorin, Nigeria.
- [2] A. O. Adeniji, and S.O. Makanjuola, (2013). “Congruence in Identity difference full transformation semigroup”. International Journal of Algebra, Vol. 7, 2013, no. 12,563-572.
- [3] A. D. Adeshola and A. Umar (2013). “Combinatorial results for certain semigroups of order-preserving full contraction mappings of a finite chain”. arXiv:1303.7428v2[math.Co].
- [4] A. J. Cain. (2015), “Lecture notes for a tour through semigroups”. Porto & Lisbon.
- [5] V.H. Fernandes, and J. Sanwong (2014). “On the rank of semigroups of transformations on a finite set with restricted range”. Algebra colloq., (21), 497-510.
- [6] G. U. Garba, Muhammad Jamilu Ibrahim, Abdussamad Tanko Imam, (2017). “On certain semigroups of full

contraction maps of a finite chain”. Turkish Journal of Mathematics. 41:500-507.

- [7] G. U. Garba (1997): “Idempotents, nilpotents, rank and order in finite transformation semigroups”. PhD thesis at the department of mathematical and computational sciences, University of St Andrews.
- [8] Gomes, Gracinda M.S. and John M. Howie (1987): “On the ranks of certain finite semigroups of transformations”. Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 101:395 - 403.
- [9] P. M. Higgins, J. M. Howie, J. D. Mitchell and N. Ruskuc, (2003). “Countable versus uncountable ranks in infinite semigroups of transformations and relations”. Proceedings of the Edinburgh mathematical society.
- [10] J. M. Howie, (1995). “Fundamentals of Semigroup Theory”. Vol. 12 of London Mathematical Society Monographs (New Series), Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York.
- [11] J. A. Omelebele and U. I. Asibong-Ibe, (2019) “A case of Non-identity difference order preserving transformation semigroup”. Journal of Semigroup Theory and Applications. <http://doi.org/10.28919/jsta/4133>, ISSN:2051-2937.
- [12] S. Nenthein, P. Youngkhong, and Y. Kemprasit, (2005). “Regular elements of some transformation semigroups”. Pure math. Appl. 16(3), 307-314.
- [13] P. Zhao and M. Yang (2012). “Regularity And Green’s Relations On Semigroups Of Transformation Preserving Order And Compression”. Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 49 No. 5, pp. 1015–1025 <http://dx.doi.org/10.4134/BKMS.2012.49.5.1015>.
- [14] J. Sanwong and W. sommanee, (2008). “Regularity and Green’s relations on a semigroup of transformations with restricted range”. Int. J. Math. Sci, doi: 10.1155/794013.
- [15] J. S. V. Symons, (1975). “Some results concerning a transformation semigroup”. J. Aust. Math. Soc. (Series A, 19(4), 413-425.
- [16] T. Harju, (1996); “Semigroups (Lecture note)”. Department of mathematics, University of Turku.FIN-20014 Turku, Finland.
- [17] G. Vicky (2000) Semigroup theory. Lecture note.

Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (Attribution 4.0 International, CC BY 4.0)

This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0

https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/deed.en_US